PLAINTIFF'S ARGUMENT SUMMARY: MOTION TO APPOINT FORENSIC ACCOUNTANT

CASE: STUART V. BROOKFIELD PROPERTIES | No. DC-25-10952 | HEARING: JAN 26, 2026

THE CORE PROBLEM: ADMITTED "SYSTEM ERRORS" VS. CONCEALED DATA

Your Honor, this case is deadlocked as Defendant admits their accounting is wrong but refuses the audit trail that explains why.

- 1. **They Admit "System Errors":** Brookfield swore in discovery that my ledger was "recalculated" in July 2025 due to a "system error" and a software transition.
- 2. **They Block My Proof:** When I produce the BILT system logs showing these "errors" were actually *manual reversals*, they object to them as "unauthenticated hearsay" to keep them out of evidence.
- 3. **The Solution:** Since they claim the records are complex and object to my evidence, **we need a Court-Appointed Forensic Accountant** to act as a neutral factfinder.

3 FACTS ONLY A FORENSIC ACCOUNTANT CAN VERIFY

We cannot go to trial on a ledger my expert calls "invalid" without a neutral audit of the native data.

1. THE PERJURY (The "July 24" Timestamp)

- The Lie: Brookfield swore in Interrogatory #9 that "No entries were made on July 24, 2025".
- The Evidence: Their own ledger is dated **07/24/2025**, and my BILT logs show manual "Reversals" occurred on that specific day.
- The Need: A forensic expert must pull the metadata to prove exactly when the file
 was created and who created it, conclusively proving the sworn discovery response
 was false.

2. THE INVALIDITY (The Backdated Fees)

- The Violation: The new ledger creates a 2,475 debt by charging late fees for months (Dec–April) where the account had a 1,312 credit at the time.
- The Expert: Licensed Broker Alice Donahue attests that "retroactively assessing late fees for months where your own accounting showed the tenant current" is invalid under standard property management practices.
- The Need: An accountant must recalculate the "True Balance" under GAAP
 (Generally Accepted Accounting Principles), stripping away illegal retroactive fees.

3. THE FEDERAL BREACH (The "Misapplied" Funds)

- The Excuse: Brookfield admits they moved money to the "HAP Ledger" to fix a "misapplication" of Housing Authority funds.
- The Law: HAP Contract Section 5(d) explicitly states: "The tenant is not responsible for paying the portion of rent... covered by the PHA.".
- The Need: An expert must trace that \$1,312. Did it go back to Dallas County? Or did Brookfield absorb it? I cannot be charged for their settlement with the PHA.

THE "ASK" (Oral Motion)

"Your Honor, Brookfield admits their system made errors but refuses to produce the native logs to prove it. They claim I owe \$2,475; my records and the Lease Renewal show I owe nothing.

- If the Court is hesitant to compel them to give *me* the raw database logs, then the Court must appoint a neutral Forensic Accountant to review them.
- We cannot go to trial on a ledger that my expert says is invalid and that Brookfield admits was 'recalculated' after the lawsuit was filed.

A forensic accountant is the only way to establish the 'True Balance' objectively."